

1. Q: Should there be a Section L with the solicitation for North Carolina OA CTS?

A: There is no section L for a commercial acquisition. All of the instructions are in the clauses of the solicitation document itself.

2. Q: What is the page limit specification for the Technical Approach, this is not noted in the RFP?

A: There are three questions that have two parts to each. There is no page limit specified because the answers were requested to be innovative; however, if the response to each part of the question can be limited to two pages that should be sufficient. This would be a total of 12 pages.

3. Q: The RFP does not include a request for Past Performance, nor a reference for a page limitation for this section. Is Past Performance to be included and what is the page limit, or is it the government's intent to use the CPAR for this information?

A: Past performance shall be evaluated in accordance with the procedures in 13.106 or Subpart 15.3, as applicable. The clause FAR 52.212-1(b)(10) has the instructions to offerors as it relates to the submission of past performance. Please limit the submission to 5 pages.

4. Q: Clarification is requested regarding the North Carolina OA/CTS solicitation #1630J3-17-R-00002: The summary synopsis states that there is a one year option and a one month option. The Pricing schedule in the solicitation reflects a one year option period and a two month option period (6/01/2019 - 7/31/2019). Please clarify the government's requirement for the solicitation.

A: It reads: The contract will have a one (1) year base period, with a one (1) year option, and one (2) month option.

5. Q: Please confirm that proposals should be emailed to sourcessought@dol.gov and there is no requirement for hard copy proposals.

A: That is correct.

6. Q: Is this an existing contract? If so, what is the current contract number?

A: The existing contract is not the same as this requirement. This will be a commercial acquisition and the terms and conditions are not the same. Therefore, providing the current contract number is not warranted.

Response: Thank you... We found the previous contract in FPDS as we were looking at the overall obligations to gauge the previous scope as it relates to spend.

Response: Please be advised that the scope is not the same either. It has been reduced.

7. Q. Page 6. B.3 – 0006- FEs only receive Initial Placement and 3 months of service. Not clear why 0006 ask for 40% of Total Price (6 month Price). Therefore, should 0005 be 100% of total Price rather than 60%?

- A. The FEs are referring to 6 months on CLIN 0006 because after the initial placement they must stay working for 6 months. The reimbursement from the government for that one student is 60% at placement and the remaining 40% after the 6 months of them working.

8. Q. Page 21, G8 – can you confirm that the \$750.00 “Each Day” an ineligible student is enrolled is accurate? Used to be per instance versus day? Just seeking clarity and further confirmation.

A. Correct.

- a. G.4 LIQUIDATED DAMAGES FOR INELIGIBLE ENROLLMENT, the last sentence says: “The contractor agrees further that the refundable cost to the Government for each day a student was improperly present in the program (counted in the reported on-board strength), is \$750.”
- b. G.5 LIQUIDATED DAMAGES FOR PLACEMENTS FOUND TO BE INVALID states: “The contractor shall be held financially responsible for the costs associated with placements found to be invalid, and shall be required to reimburse the Government in the amount of \$750 per invalid placement.”

9. Q. Is there a Government furnished equipment or property list included with this solicitation?

- A. The list was requested from the program office. The list is currently in the office and will be posted today (5/1/2017). While we do not expect it will change the price of the proposal, the solicitation will be extended a day to allow for revisions.